

RECEIVED

JUL 11 2005

ROBERT H. SHEM WELL, CLERK  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA  
SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA  
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

CURTIS L. PRUITT  
Plaintiff,

v.

HARRIS HATCHET, et al,  
Defendants

CIVIL ACTION NO: 1:03-cv-2221

JUDGE F.A. LITTLE, JR.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE JAMES D. KIRK

**MOTION TO REQUEST THE COURT DENY OR STAY DEFENDANTS  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Comes now Curtis L. Pruitt proceeding Pro Se Would move this Honorable Court to grant plaintiff request for a continuance of defendants summary judgment motion in the above styled cause. Plaintiff states the following to wit in support:

Plaintiff have not had an opportunity to complete discovery in this case. The whole purpose of discovery in a case in which a motion for summary judgment is filed is to give the opposing party an opportunity to discover as many facts as are available and he considers essential to enable him to determine whether he can honestly file opposing affidavits. See Snook v. Trust CO. Of Ga. Bank of Savannah, N.A. 859 F.2d. 865 (11th Cir. 1988).

Plaintiff request that the Court grant a stay or continuance of defendants Summary judgment motion until he has obtained the necessary information to oppose summary judgment. See Costlow v. United States,

552 F.2d 560, 564 (3d Cir. 1977)

SIGNED

DATED

July 6, 2005

JUL 18 2005

ROBERT H. SHEM WELL, CLERK  
BY uh DEPUTY

Motion device.  
See order of 6/13/05  
Now Judge  
185-505

52